

2017-2018 Assessment Cycle EDUC_Curriculum and Instruction BS

Mission (due 12/4/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / VP and Program / Department Mission

Mission of College or VP-area

Provide the mission for the College or VP-area in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

The mission of the College of Education at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette is built on the three pillars of the academy: Teaching, Scholarship, and Service. A commitment to high standards in each of these areas enables the college to be responsive to community, regional, and state needs while addressing national and international concerns. Through Teaching, Scholarship, and Service, the college strives to prepare outstanding teachers, educational leaders, and other professionals in related domains, while developing viable public and private partnerships which systematically improve education. This mission, being fundamental and timeless, represents the professional and ethical imperative of the College of Education to be attentive to the needs of contemporary college students and to the challenges of serving a diverse, modern society.

Mission of Program / Department

Provide the program / department mission in the space provided. The mission statement should concisely define the purpose, functions, and key constituents. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

The Department of Curriculum and Instruction at UL-Lafayette seeks to provide teacher candidates with requisite knowledge, skills, and dispositions to fully address K-12 students' cognitive, social, and psychological needs. Furthermore, our field-intensive approach to teacher preparation aims to equip our candidates with pedagogues closely associated with lessening inherited barriers to K-12 students' success.

Attachment (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.

Assessment Plan (due 12/4/17)

Assessment Plan (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List

Goal/Objective	The candidate will demonstrate knowledge of content discipline and engages in effective pedagogical practice(Imported)								
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Attachments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)</td> <td>PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.</td> <td>PIV_LESSON_PLAN_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17_.docx</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.	PIV_LESSON_PLAN_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17_.docx
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments						
Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.	PIV_LESSON_PLAN_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17_.docx							

Goal/Objective	The candidate will actively, persistently, and carefully consider practice, experience, and available alternatives to guide decision-making(Imported)								
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Attachments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent</td> <td>PIV_ANALYZING_RESULTS_ASSESSMENT__8.31.17__RUBRIC.docx</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent	PIV_ANALYZING_RESULTS_ASSESSMENT__8.31.17__RUBRIC.docx
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments						
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent	PIV_ANALYZING_RESULTS_ASSESSMENT__8.31.17__RUBRIC.docx							

	(90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric.	
--	---	--

Goal/Objective	The candidate will articulate an understanding that beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures affect learning and relationships with learners, their families, and the community.(Imported)								
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="326 1073 483 1171">Assessment Measure</th> <th data-bbox="483 1073 662 1171">Criterion</th> <th data-bbox="662 1073 1528 1171">Attachments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="326 1171 483 1734">Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td data-bbox="483 1171 662 1734">PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.</td> <td data-bbox="662 1171 1528 1734">PIV_CLINICAL_EXPERIENCE_FINAL_EVAL_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17.docx</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.	PIV_CLINICAL_EXPERIENCE_FINAL_EVAL_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17.docx
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments							
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.	PIV_CLINICAL_EXPERIENCE_FINAL_EVAL_ASSESSMENT_RUBRIC__8.31.17.docx							

Goal/Objective	The candidate will actively seek opportunities to grow professionally, collaborates to meet complex needs of learners, advocates principles, and models leadership skills.(Imported)		
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);		

Standards/Outcomes			
Assessment Measures			
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL SECTION: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section.	

Program / Department Assessment Narrative

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs (student learning) and departments (operations); this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. In the space below, describe the program's or department's overall plan for improving student learning and/or operations (the "assessment plan"). Consider the following:

- 1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?
- 2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?
- 3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?
- 4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?
- 5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

Assessment Process

- 1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?

The Department of Curriculum and Instruction jointly and periodically reviews available data regarding project outcomes. Typically, we engage in substantive discussions during faculty meetings and make programmatic decisions (if necessary) during the end of the fall or spring semesters

- 2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?

Our program expects that as a result of the goals and objectives being met, we can impartially discern the extent to which our graduates met or exceeded state and national standards as well as met the practical demands of our field.

- 3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?

A number of changes are being piloted that may have an impact on outcomes. We hope that such changes would result in immediate surges in productivity but we are aware of implementation gaps which may appear in the data sets.

- 4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?

Because our data sets are analyzed jointly, we expect to have several sub-groups evaluate the data sets and make recommendations on what next steps might be necessary. Furthermore, we examine prior practical and research outcomes (when applicable) associated with proposed interventions to determine if such change has been consistently successful for the issue we are seeking to improve.

- 5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

As mentioned above, the data is shared multiple times throughout the year with major decisions being made at the end of a given fall or spring semester. The data sets are typically shared with faculty and faculty sub-groups first then recommendations are brought forth to other college administrators for consideration.

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/18)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for The candidate will demonstrate knowledge of content discipline and engages in effective pedagogical practice(Imported)

Goal/Objective	The candidate will demonstrate knowledge of content discipline and engages in effective pedagogical practice(Imported)													
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);													
Standards/Outcomes														
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)</td> <td>PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Assessment Measure	Criterion	Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.						
Assessment Measure	Criterion													
Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact.													
Assessment Findings	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Summary</th> <th>Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th>Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)</td> <td>Has the criterion PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. been met yet? Met</td> <td>TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. STATUS: MET SEMESTER: SU2017-SP2018 NOTES: 98.25% of the candidates scored MEETS EXPECTATIONS on the UNIT PIV</td> <td>UNIT_PIV_Lesson_Plan_Implementation_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf</td> <td>- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	Has the criterion PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. been met yet? Met	TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. STATUS: MET SEMESTER: SU2017-SP2018 NOTES: 98.25% of the candidates scored MEETS EXPECTATIONS on the UNIT PIV	UNIT_PIV_Lesson_Plan_Implementation_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives										
Direct - Performance (recital/exhibit/science project)	Has the criterion PIV Lesson Plan Artifact: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. been met yet? Met	TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) or above on the Lesson Plan Artifact. STATUS: MET SEMESTER: SU2017-SP2018 NOTES: 98.25% of the candidates scored MEETS EXPECTATIONS on the UNIT PIV	UNIT_PIV_Lesson_Plan_Implementation_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of										

			LESSON PLAN IMPLEMENTATION SU17-SP18 Assessment		performance on the noted assessment.
--	--	--	---	--	--------------------------------------

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for The candidate will actively, persistently, and carefully consider practice, experience, and available alternatives to guide decision-making(Imported)

Goal/Objective	The candidate will actively, persistently, and carefully consider practice, experience, and available alternatives to guide decision-making(Imported)														
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);														
Standards/Outcomes															
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric.						
Assessment Measure	Criterion														
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric.														
Assessment Findings	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Summary</th> <th>Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th>Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>Has the criterion PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results</td> <td>TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric STATUS: MET SEM: SU17-SP18 NOTES: 99.08% of the candidates scored MEETS</td> <td>UNIT_PIV_Analyzing_Results_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf</td> <td>- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results	TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric STATUS: MET SEM: SU17-SP18 NOTES: 99.08% of the candidates scored MEETS	UNIT_PIV_Analyzing_Results_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives											
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV ANALYZING RESULTS ARTIFACT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results	TARGET: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Meets Expectations) on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric STATUS: MET SEM: SU17-SP18 NOTES: 99.08% of the candidates scored MEETS	UNIT_PIV_Analyzing_Results_SU17_to_SP18.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of											

	Rubric. been met yet? Met	EXPECTATI ONS on the PIV Analyzing Results Rubric	performan ce on the noted assesse nt.
--	------------------------------------	--	---

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for The candidate will articulate an understanding that beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures affect learning and relationships with learners, their families, and the community.(Imported)

Goal/Objective	The candidate will articulate an understanding that beliefs, traditions, and values across and within cultures affect learning and relationships with learners, their families, and the community.(Imported)													
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);													
Standards/Outcomes														
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Assessment Measure	Criterion	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.						
Assessment Measure	Criterion													
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric.													
Assessment Findings	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Summary</th> <th>Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th>Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above</td> <td>Over 98% of the candidates scored Proficient or above on the PIV Clinical Experience Final Evaluation Assessment. This target was MET.</td> <td>PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Evaluation_SU17_to_SP18_All.docx</td> <td>- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above	Over 98% of the candidates scored Proficient or above on the PIV Clinical Experience Final Evaluation Assessment. This target was MET.	PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Evaluation_SU17_to_SP18_All.docx	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives										
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVAL ASSESSMENT: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 (Proficient) or above	Over 98% of the candidates scored Proficient or above on the PIV Clinical Experience Final Evaluation Assessment. This target was MET.	PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Evaluation_SU17_to_SP18_All.docx	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets										

		on the Clinical Evaluation - Final Rubric. been met yet? Met			in order to maintain the continued high-level of performance on the noted assessment.
--	--	---	--	--	---

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for The candidate will actively seek opportunities to grow professionally, collaborates to meet complex needs of learners, advocates principles, and models leadership skills.(Imported)

Goal/Objective	The candidate will actively seek opportunities to grow professionally, collaborates to meet complex needs of learners, advocates principles, and models leadership skills.(Imported)														
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);														
Standards/Outcomes															
Assessment Measures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th colspan="4">Criterion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td colspan="4">PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL SECTION: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion				Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL SECTION: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section.			
Assessment Measure	Criterion														
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL SECTION: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section.														
Assessment Findings	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment Measure</th> <th>Criterion</th> <th>Summary</th> <th>Attachments of the Assessments</th> <th>Improvement Narratives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Direct - Observation of clinical performance</td> <td>Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL</td> <td>TARGET : Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient</td> <td>PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Eval_SU17_to_SP18_ALL_personal.xls.pdf</td> <td>- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL	TARGET : Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient	PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Eval_SU17_to_SP18_ALL_personal.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives											
Direct - Observation of clinical performance	Has the criterion PIV CLINICAL EXPERIENCE FINAL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT - PERSONAL	TARGET : Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient	PIV_Clinical_Experience_Final_Eval_SU17_to_SP18_ALL_personal.xls.pdf	- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: The EDCI Department Head and											

		<p>AL SECTION: Ninety percent (90%) of our candidates will attain an average score of 3.0 Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section. been met yet? Met</p>	<p>or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section STATUS : MET SEM: SU17-SP18 NOTES: 99.04% of the candidates scored Proficient or Distinguished on the Clinical Evaluation - Personal Section</p>		<p>Faculty schedule time to review and monitor various data sets in order to maintain the continued high-level of performance on the noted assessment.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Reflection (Due 9/15/18)

Reflection

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs and operations; this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. Recalling this purpose, respond to the questions below.

1) How were assessment results shared in the program / department?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate.

Distributed via email (selected)

Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)
 Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected)
 Once per cycle
 Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.

Department Head (selected)
 Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean (selected)
 Departmental assessment committee (selected)
 Other faculty / staff

4) Consider the impact of prior applied changes. Specifically, compare current results to previous results to evaluate the impact of a previously reported change. Demonstrate how the use of results improved student learning and/or operations.

The EDCI leadership and faculty review and monitor various data sets on a regular basis. The overall outcome has resulted in high performance scores for the aforementioned assessments. Those assessments are considered EPP-WIDE Assessments and quickly calibrated should the need arise.

5) Over the past three assessment cycles, what has been the overall impact of "closing the loop"? Provide examples of improvements in student learning, program quality, or department operations that are directly linked to assessment data and follow-up analysis.

The overall impact continues to be the open discussions between and among the leadership, faculty;ity and students regarding the development and implementation of the assessments. The EDCI faculty discuss various data sets during their regularly scheduled faculty meetings in order to remain current and relevant regarding candidate progress.

Attachments (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.